



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,271		02/24/2004	Hideyuki Suzuki	249225US6	8914
22850	7590	08/11/2006		EXAMINER	
C. IRVIN N			VU, MICHAEL T		
· ·	•	•	AIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	4 DM 1 D 11 M	n / 250 / 20 / 250
1940 DUKE	STREE	Γ	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXAND	RIA, VA	22314	2617		
	DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006			6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/784,271	SUZUKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael Vu	2617	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Michael Vu	2617						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 18 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Attachment.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Vo(s).	,					
		DUCNGLIVEN	1					
		PRIMARY EXAMI	NER					

Application/Control Number: 10/784,271

Art Unit: 2617

ADVISORY ACTION

On page 3 of Applicant's Remarks/Arguments, Applicant argues that the Izumi does not describe or suggest a signal that includes beacon information having an identifier that identifies a type of certificate of privilege. Further, Izumi does not describe or suggest an authentication request to the first terminal in response to the signal sent from the first terminal by providing the type of certificate of privilege which matches the identifier.

However, applicant concedes that Izumi describes several terminals send messages to one another identifying themselves by providing Bluetooth ID numbers. Even if the Bluetooth ID number is considered as being equivalent to a certificate of privilege (which it is not), Izumi does not describe or suggest that there are different types of Bluetooth ID number (See Applicant Remark/Arguments on Page 3, line 11-16).

In response, Izumi include teaches an apparatus registration and communication after registration based on the Bluetooth protocol by sending a Page/Inquiry message, and a registration request in response to an Inquiry message based on the Bluetooth protocol, and stored identification information of the selected apparatus. Each of apparatus teaches by Izumi has a Bluetooth ID number or identification information which equates to the certificate of privilege (Examiner interpreted certificate authority or registration serial number that reads on). However, each of an apparatus has the different identification number assigned (as well known in Bluetooth techniques, or Ad Hoc Network or short range communication network), in which authorize the apparatus

Art Unit: 2617

to have the right to access to the network with request and response procedures are such as Page/Inquiry messages, and Page/Inquiry Response messages (See [0050-0075]) provide with a match Identification number that stored in an apparatus (See Figures 7-9, [0047-0094, 0105-0106]).

From the above, the examiner believes that the rejection of the claims 1-26 is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael T. Vu whose telephone number (571) 272-8131. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM – 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duc Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 272-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-9000.

Michael Vu

Examiner